Evolving datatypes

Monadic Warsaw

Andres Löh

10 January 2017

The Haskell Consultants



```
data User = User
  {login :: String
  , fullname :: String
  , location :: String
  }
```



```
data User = User
  {login :: String
  , fullname :: String
  }
```



```
data User = User
  {login :: String
  , fullname :: String
  , languages :: String
  }
```



```
data User = User
  {login :: String
  , fullname :: String
  , languages :: [Language]
  }
```



Within the program itself, it usually is not.

But programs communicate, and produce external representations of data:

- binary encodings,
- ► JSON,
- database entries,
- ▶ ...



Different versions

External representations change

First version:

```
{ "login" : "andres"
, "fullname" : "Andres Löh"
, "location" : "Regensburg"
}
```



Different versions

External representations change

First version:

```
{ "login" : "andres"
, "fullname" : "Andres Löh"
, "location" : "Regensburg"
}
```

"Current" version:

```
{ "login" : "andres"
, "fullname" : "Andres Löh"
, "languages" : ["Haskell", "Idris", "Agda"]
}
```



Different versions

External representations change ...

First version:

```
{ "login" : "andres"
, "fullname" : "Andres Löh"
, "location" : "Regensburg"
}
```

"Current" version:

```
{ "login" : "andres"
, "fullname" : "Andres Löh"
, "languages" : ["Haskell", "Idris", "Agda"]
}
```

Program should be able to cope with both inputs.



[Some of the] Available Haskell options

safecopy

- ► Define all versions as separate Haskell datatypes.
- Define migration functions between the versions.
- Instantiate a class to get a versioned binary decoding.



[Some of the] Available Haskell options

safecopy

- ► Define all versions as separate Haskell datatypes.
- Define migration functions between the versions.
- Instantiate a class to get a versioned binary decoding.

api-tools

- Use a DSL to describe the changes between versions.
- ► Use Template Haskell to derive versioned decoders.



Use datatype-generic programming

- ► Datatypes are given a uniform, structural, representation.
- We can convert between the original datatype and its representation.



- ► Datatypes are given a uniform, structural, representation.
- We can convert between the original datatype and its representation.
- We can define functions based on the representation that work on many different datatypes.



- ► Datatypes are given a uniform, structural, representation.
- We can convert between the original datatype and its representation.
- We can define functions based on the representation that work on many different datatypes.
- Many different flavours of datatype-generic programming: GHC generics, Scrap your Boilerplate, uniplate, generics-sop, multirec, compdata, RepLib ...



- ► Datatypes are given a uniform, structural, representation.
- We can convert between the original datatype and its representation.
- We can define functions based on the representation that work on many different datatypes.
- Many different flavours of datatype-generic programming: GHC generics, Scrap your Boilerplate, uniplate, generics-sop, multirec, compdata, RepLib ...



Representing types

```
data User = User
  {login :: Text
  , fullname :: Text
  , languages :: [Language]
  }
```



Representing types

```
data User = User
  {login :: Text
  , fullname :: Text
  , languages :: [Language]
  }
```

type instance Code User = '['[Text, Text, [Language]]]
Rep (Code User) ~ SOP I (Code User)



Representing types

```
data User = User
  {login :: Text
  ,fullname :: Text
  ,languages :: [Language]
  }
```

type instance Code User = '['[Text, Text, [Language]]]
Rep (Code User) ~ SOP I (Code User)

```
type family Code (a :: Type) :: [[Type]]
class Generic a where
  from :: a -> Rep (Code a)
  to     :: Rep (Code a) -> a
```



What is Rep?

```
data User = User
  {login :: Text
  , fullname :: Text
  , languages :: [Language]
  }
```



What is Rep?

```
data User = User
  {login :: Text
  , fullname :: Text
  , languages :: [Language]
  }
```

type instance Code User = '['[Text, Text, [Language]]]

```
Value of type User :
```

User "andres" "Andres Löh" [Haskell, Idris, Agda]

Value of type Rep (Code User) (modulo syntactic clutter):

C₀ ["andres", "Andres Löh", [Haskell, Idris, Agda]]

Well-Typed

```
SOP I xss \approx NS (NP I) xss
```

```
data NP (f :: k -> Type) (xs :: [k]) where
Nil :: NP f '[]
(:*) :: f x -> NP f xs -> NP f (x ': xs)
```



Generic functions

class Encode a where encode :: a -> [Bit] decoder :: Decoder a



Generic functions

class Encode a where encode :: a -> [Bit] decoder :: Decoder a

Defined via induction on the representation:

```
gencode :: (Generic a, All2 Encode (Code a))
                            => a -> [Bit]
gencode = ...
```

Yields defaults for the Encode class methods.



User ₁			
User ₂			
$User_3$			
User ₄			



- User₁ Code User₁
- User₂ Code User₂
- User₃ Code User₃
- User₄ Code User₄



```
User<sub>1</sub> Code User<sub>1</sub>

Migration (Code (User<sub>1</sub>)) (Code (User<sub>2</sub>))

User<sub>2</sub> Code User<sub>2</sub>

Migration (Code (User<sub>2</sub>)) (Code (User<sub>3</sub>))

User<sub>3</sub> Code User<sub>3</sub>

Migration (Code (User<sub>3</sub>)) (Code (User<sub>4</sub>))

User<sub>4</sub> Code User<sub>4</sub>
```

data Migration :: [[Type]] -> [[Type]] -> Type where Migration :: (Rep a -> Rep b) -> Migration a b



```
Code User<sub>1</sub>

Migration (Code (User<sub>1</sub>)) (Code (User<sub>2</sub>))

Code User<sub>2</sub>

Migration (Code (User<sub>2</sub>)) (Code (User<sub>3</sub>))

Code User<sub>3</sub>

Migration (Code (User<sub>3</sub>)) (Code (User<sub>4</sub>))

User Code User
```

data Migration :: [[Type]] -> [[Type]] -> Type where Migration :: (Rep a -> Rep b) -> Migration a b



```
Code User<sub>1</sub>
Migration (Code (User<sub>1</sub>)) (Code (User<sub>2</sub>))
Code User<sub>2</sub>
Migration (Code (User<sub>2</sub>)) (Code (User<sub>3</sub>))
Code User<sub>3</sub>
Migration (Code (User<sub>3</sub>)) (Code (User<sub>4</sub>))
User Code User
```

```
data Migration :: [[Type]] -> [[Type]] -> Type where
Migration :: (Rep a -> Rep b) -> Migration a b
data History :: Version -> [[Type]] -> Type where
Initial :: History v c
Revision :: (...)
=> Migration c' c
-> History v' c'
-> History v c
```



addConstructor :: Migration c ('[] ': c)
addConstructor = Migration shift



```
addConstructor :: Migration c ('[] ': c)
addConstructor = Migration shift
```

Good, but not quite satisfactory:

- By position rather than name.
- No way to actually give a name to a revision.



Include names in codes

data User = User {login :: String, fullname :: String}

Plain code:

```
type family Code (a :: Type) :: [[Type]]
type instance Code User =
   '['[String, String]]
```



Include names in codes

data User = User {login :: String, fullname :: String}

Plain code:

```
type family Code (a :: Type) :: [[Type]]
type instance Code User =
   '['[String, String]]
```

Code with metadata:

```
type MetaCode = [(Symbol, [(Symbol, Type)])]
type family Code' (a :: Type) :: MetaCode
type instance Code' User =
    '['("User", '['("login", String), '("fullname", String)])]
```

Stripping metadata:

type family Simplify (c :: MetaCode) :: [[Type]]



Migrations based on codes with metadata

```
data Migration :: MetaCode
         -> MetaCode
         -> Type where
Migration :: (Rep (Simplify a) -> Rep (Simplify b))
         -> Migration a b
```



Migrations based on codes with metadata

```
data Migration :: MetaCode
         -> MetaCode
         -> Type where
Migration :: (Rep (Simplify a) -> Rep (Simplify b))
         -> Migration a b
```

```
addField :: (...)
=> Proxy (v :: Version)
-> Proxy (d :: Symbol) -- name of constructor
-> Proxy (f :: Symbol) -- name of field
-> a -- default value
-> History v' c
-> History v (AddField d f c)
```



```
personHistory :: History "4" (Code' User)
personHistory =
    changeType (Proxy @ "4")
        (Proxy @ "User") (Proxy @ "languages")
        parseLanguages
$ addField (Proxy @ "3")
        (Proxy @ "User") (Proxy @ "languages")
        "Haskell"
$ removeField (Proxy @ "2")
        (Proxy @ "User") (Proxy @ "location")
$ initialRevision (Proxy @ "1")
        (Proxy@InitialCodeUser)
```



class (Generic a, ...) => HasHistory a where type CurrentRevision a :: Symbol history :: Proxy a -> History (CurrentRevision a) (Code' a)



Encoding and decoding based on histories

hencode :: (HasHistory a, ...) => a -> [Bit]

- choose latest version from history
- encode version
- encode data generically



Encoding and decoding based on histories

hencode :: (HasHistory a, ...) => a -> [Bit]

- choose latest version from history
- encode version
- encode data generically

hdecode :: (HasHistory a, ...) => Decoder a

- decode version
- choose the corresponding version from history
- decode data generically for that version
- apply the remaining migration functions



For hdecode,

all types contained in all codes of all revisions

must be in the Encode class.



For hdecode,

all types contained in all codes of all revisions

must be in the Encode class.

This means:

- put class constraints in History type,
- index History over all intermediate versions,
- abstract History over class constraints.



For hdecode,

all types contained in all codes of all revisions

must be in the Encode class.

This means:

- put class constraints in History type,
- index History over all intermediate versions,
- ► abstract History over class constraints.

Also, versioning by datatype is actually not a good idea.



- Current code is proof of concept.
- New forms of migrations can be added.
- Not tied to a single encoding (i.e., different binary encodings, JSON, database, could all work with the same history).
- Comparatively much type safety.
- Also reverse migrations are possible.
- Efficiency?

